

FC-AV Draft Meeting Minutes  
Nashua, NH  
03 October 2000

1. Attendees:

Name	Company	Email Address
Dal Allan	ENDL	<a href="mailto:endlcom@acm.org">endlcom@acm.org</a>
Joe Breher	Exabyte	<a href="mailto:joebre@exabyte.com">joebre@exabyte.com</a>
Jack Keller	Boeing-STL	<a href="mailto:jack.e.keller@boeing.com">jack.e.keller@boeing.com</a>
Scott Kipp	McData	<a href="mailto:skipp@mcdata.com">skipp@mcdata.com</a>
Jing Kwok	DY4 Systems Inc.	<a href="mailto:jkwok@dy4.com">jkwok@dy4.com</a>
Kumar Malavalli	Brocade	<a href="mailto:kumar@brocade.com">kumar@brocade.com</a>
John Schroeder	Smiths Industries	<a href="mailto:schroeder_john@si.com">schroeder_john@si.com</a>
Horst Truestedt	True Focus	<a href="mailto:hotrues@attglobal.net">hotrues@attglobal.net</a>
Steve H Wilson	Boeing	<a href="mailto:steven.h.wilson@boeing.com">steven.h.wilson@boeing.com</a>
Steve L Wilson	Brocade	<a href="mailto:swilson@brocade.com">swilson@brocade.com</a>
Fred VanRoessel	Panasonic	<a href="mailto:VanRoesselF@panasonic.com">VanRoesselF@panasonic.com</a>

2. Jack Keller opened the meeting with diskette distribution, attendance list and introductions.

3. The following agenda was presented:

- Review and approval of draft minutes from 08 August 2000 FC-AV meeting.
- Status of old action items.
- Review and Discussion of Version 1.4 Draft
- Review of FC-AV-2 Project Proposal
- Review of new action items assigned

4. Minutes from the 8 August meeting were approved with the following edit:

- Correct spellings of Horst.

5. Steve L Wilson raised question on discussing FC-AV rev1.4 while a letter ballot is in progress. Horst stated he thought we could discuss anything except member votes while ballot was in progress. Dal Allen concurred.

6. Status of old action items:

1. Provide new definition for interlaced and a segmented definition to Horst. Schroeder/ VanRoessel  
DONE
2. Edit and review AV spec. Horst/Keller  
DONE

3. Post a review AV rev1.4 spec by sept 9th. Horst  
DONE, Target date had slipped, Doc 00-252v3
4. Request Letter Ballot on rev1.4 at T11.3 Plenary Wilson/Malavalli  
DONE
7. Review of FC-AV rev1.4 began with a question of the project number. Rev 1.4 shows the projects number as 1305-D. The last T11.3 plenary project report showed it as project 1237-D. It was thought the 1237 project number was the earlier project number when FC-AV was originally a standard and project number 1305 was assigned when FC-AV was demoted to a technical report. When FC-AV was again promoted to a standard it was thought it would again use the 1237 project number. Kumar stated he would determine the correct project number and provide it to the working group.
8. It was stated there were supplemental figures for clause 8
9. Jack Keller noticed that clause 7 was missing several edits intended for rev 1.4.
10. Horst asked about the completeness of the Normative references. John Schroeder asked if the SMPTE Universal Labeling System referenced in 5.6.4. should have been included. A discussion on the class type index tables began. There is an index table for class type 10h in appendix B . It was noted that there were two entries with question marks in them and several with blank Serial Link Standard entries. Fred VanRoessel would look into those entries.
11. As a discussion on class type index tables was beginning Dal made the observation that no implementations of FC-AV are currently public knowledge. He suggested that members of the FC-AV working group prepare presentations on FC-AV implementations for presentation at a T11 plenary. He thought that such a presentation would also result in a trade magazine article.
12. As the class type index table discussion continued the intent of 5.6.4 item #1 became unclear. Jack thought that some insight could be obtained from Mike Pugh.
13. There was additional discussion on a lack of clarity in the document about the location and maintenance of the index tables that section 5.6 refers to.
14. Review progressed to section 3 Definitions and conventions. Dal Allan remarked that Sink and Source should be in the definitions. He also stated when they are listed together in the document they should be stated in the document in order of Sink then Source to be respective of the association with Client and Server. Within the document the term Sink and/or Source should be used where Consumer and/or Producer is used or anywhere Client and/or Server is used.
15. Jack stated he would submit a definition for YIQ in his letter ballot comments. Fred VanRoessel stated YIQ is obsolete and asked if a comment on obsolesce of YIQ should be included in the document.
16. Action Items were assigned as follows:
  1. Determine correct project number for FC-AV to use to resolve the 1305 vs 1237 question. Kumar
  2. Investigate the entries in Table B.6 that contain question marks or missing serial link entries. VanRoessel
  3. Attempt to find or develop presentation material for FC-AV implementations to be presented to T11. VanRoessel/keller
  4. Consult with Mike Pugh on the intent of 5.6.4 Item #1. Keller
  5. A corrected Clause 7 is to be posted. Keller/Truestedt

Respectfully submitted,

John Schroeder, FC-AV Secretary